

# **EXHIBIT 1**

1 CHHABRA LAW FIRM, PC  
2 ROHIT CHHABRA (SBN 278798)  
3 Email: rohit@thelawfirm.io  
4 257 Castro Street Suite 104  
5 Mountain View, CA 94041  
6 Telephone: (650) 564-7929

7 **Attorney for Plaintiffs**  
8 Open Source Security Inc. and  
9 Bradley Spengler

10 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
11 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**  
12 **SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION**

13 OPEN SOURCE SECURITY INC. and ) Case No.: 3:17-cv-04002-LB  
14 BRADLEY SPENGLER )  
15 Plaintiffs, ) **Declaration of Rohit Chhabra in Support of**  
16 v. ) **OSS' Opposition to Perens' Attorneys' Fee**  
17 BRUCE PERENS, and Does 1-50, ) **Motion**  
18 Defendants. ) Location: Courtroom C, 15th Floor  
19 ) Judge: Hon. Laurel Beeler  
20 )  
21 )  
22 )  
23 )  
24 )  
25 )  
26 )  
27 )  
28 )

**Declaration of Rohit Chhabra**

I, Rohit Chhabra, declare:

1. I am the attorney of record for Plaintiffs Open Source Security Inc. (OSS) and Bradley Spengler in the above-referenced action, and I am admitted to practice before this Court. I have personal knowledge of the facts stated herein and, if called to testify, I could and would testify completely hereto.

2. I colloquially sent an email to Ms. Hansen stating, “Oh interesting. This would be a fun game to play. If you win this, I’ll happily pay your bills,” intending to mean that I accept her anti-SLAPP motion as a challenge. This statement did not mean anything else.

3. I clarified the above to Ms. Hansen on December 26, 2017. I also clarified that my colloquy was intentionally being misrepresented.

4. In my professional judgment the complaint had to be amended to withstand an anti-SLAPP motion. I amended the complaint only reduce costs to both parties and promote judicial economy.

5. Had I known Defendant would have filed an anti-SLAPP motion, I would have amended the complaint prior to Defendant’s first anti-SLAPP motion.

6. I did not argue the motion for summary judgment since the Court had already made its decision; continue to argue the motion would have been disrespectful.

7. Exhibit 2 is a true and correct copy of the sanction entries of Defendant’s timekeeping records.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is

1 true and correct.

2  
3 Executed this 8th day of March 2018 in Mountain View, California.

4  
5  
6 */s/ Rohit Chhabra* \_\_\_\_\_  
7 Rohit Chhabra

8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

# **EXHIBIT 2**

FILED UNDER  
SEAL